

**Due: Before class on April 29, 2014**

## Homework 9

1. Did you do the reading? YES/NO/SORTA
2. Did you do the reading before class? YES/NO/SORTA
3. How long did you spend on this homework (rounding up)? \_\_\_\_\_ hours.

## 1 Reductions and NP-Completeness

Let  $A$  and  $B$  be languages such that  $A \leq_P B$ . That is, there is a polynomial-time reduction from  $A$  to  $B$ . In each question below there are some suppositions about  $A$  and  $B$  followed by some possible conclusions. Circle all of the possible conclusions that are *necessarily* true assuming the suppositions.

### 1.1

**Suppose:**  $A \in P$  and  $B \in \text{NP-COMplete}$ .

**Conclude:**  $A \in \text{NP}$ ?  $A \in \text{NP-COMplete}$ ?

### 1.2

**Suppose:**  $A \in \text{NP-COMplete}$  and  $B \in P$ .

**Conclude:**  $B \in \text{NP}$ ?  $B \in \text{NP-COMplete}$ ?  $A \in P$ ?

### 1.3

**Suppose:**  $A \in \text{NP}$  and  $B \in P$ .

**Conclude:**  $B \in \text{NP-COMplete}$ ?  $A \in P$ ?  $P = \text{NP}$ ?

### 1.4

**Suppose:**  $A \in \text{NP-COMplete}$ .

**Conclude:**  $B \in \text{NP-COMplete}$ ?

## 2 Subgraph Isomorphism

**2.1** The *clique* problem takes as input a graph  $G$  and a number  $k$ , and it asks whether or not  $G$  has a clique (a complete subgraph) of size  $k$ . The Sipser book contains a proof that the clique problem is NP-COMplete.

The *subgraph isomorphism* problem takes as input a pair of graphs and asks if the first graph is isomorphic to a subgraph of the second graph. Prove that subgraph isomorphism is NP-COMplete.

**To think about:** Why doesn't your answer work to show also that graph isomorphism is NP-COMplete?